

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TAHA ABOURAMADAN,

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01206-APG-BNW

4 Plaintiff

**Order**

5 v.

[ECF No. 79]

6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

7 Defendants

8 On May 14, 2021, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this action  
9 without prejudice because plaintiff Taha Abouramadan has failed to update his address as  
10 ordered. ECF No. 79. Abouramadan did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to  
11 conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring  
12 district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified  
13 proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,  
14 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings  
15 and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation  
17 (ECF No. 79) is accepted, plaintiff Taha Abouramadan’s complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED  
18 without prejudice, and the clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 15th day of June, 2021.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23